

UT-17-05
February, 2017

Bottom-Tau Unification in Supersymmetric $SU(5)$ Models with Extra Matters

So Chigusa and Takeo Moroi

Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

Abstract

We consider b - τ unification in supersymmetric $SU(5)$ grand unified theories (GUTs) with extra matters. The renormalization group runnings of b and τ Yukawa coupling constants may be significantly affected by the existence of extra matters. If the extra matters interact with the standard model particles (and their superpartners) only through gauge interaction, the ratio of the b to τ Yukawa coupling constants at the GUT scale becomes suppressed compared to the case without extra matters. This is mainly due to the change of the renormalization group running of the $SU(3)_C$ gauge coupling constant. If the extra matters have Yukawa couplings, on the contrary, the (effective) b Yukawa coupling at the GUT scale can be enhanced due to the new Yukawa interaction. Such an effect may improve the b - τ unification in supersymmetric GUTs.

1 Introduction

The unification of the standard model (SM) gauge groups into a larger group, like in $SU(5)$ grand unified theories (GUTs) [1–3], is an attractive possibility of a new physics beyond the SM. One of the important check points of GUTs is the gauge coupling unification which predicts that the gauge coupling constants of the SM become equal at the unification scale up to threshold corrections. It is well known that, in the SM, there is no strong indication of the unification of the gauge coupling constants. In supersymmetric (SUSY) models, on the other hand, the situation changes because of the existence of the superparticles as well as up- and down-type Higgses. In particular, with the renormalization group equations (RGEs) of the minimal SUSY SM (MSSM), three gauge coupling constants more-or-less meet at the GUT scale $M_{\text{GUT}} \sim 10^{16}$ GeV if the mass scale of the superparticles is $O(1 - 10)$ TeV [4–9].

In simple GUT models based on $SU(5)$, quarks and leptons are embedded into full multiplets of $SU(5)$. In particular, the right-handed down-type quarks and the left-handed lepton doublets are embedded into the anti-fundamental representations of $SU(5)$, resulting in the unification of the down-type and charged lepton Yukawa coupling constants. In particular, the unification of the Yukawa coupling constants of b -quark and τ -lepton is an interesting check point of (SUSY) GUTs. Indeed, the b - τ unification based on SUSY GUTs has been extensively studied in many literatures [10–21].

The renormalization group behaviors of the coupling constants are sensitive to the existence of new particles. If full multiplets of $SU(5)$ are added at a single scale, the unification of the gauge coupling constants is unaffected (at least at the one-loop level), although the values of the gauge coupling constants depend on the particle content. Contrary to the gauge coupling unification, the unification of the b and τ Yukawa coupling constants is expected to be significantly affected by new particles, because the renormalization group runnings of Yukawa coupling constants are strongly dependent on the behaviors of the gauge coupling constants. Importantly, there are various candidates of such new particles, like new fermions (as well as their superpartners) to realize Peccei-Quinn symmetry [22], extra chiral superfields in gauge mediation models [23–25], and so on. In addition, existence of extra matters at the mass scale of the superparticles is required if there exists a non-anomalous discrete R -symmetry [26, 27]. Thus, their effects on the renormalization group runnings of the b and τ Yukawa coupling constants are of great interest in particular from the point of view of the Yukawa unification based on SUSY GUTs.

In this paper, we study the b - τ unification in SUSY models with extra matters which have gauge quantum numbers under the SM gauge group. We will see that the existence of the extra matters may significantly affect the renormalization group running of the b and τ Yukawa coupling constants, and hence modify the b - τ unification. As we will discuss, the ratio of the b to τ Yukawa coupling constants may become very close to 1 if the extra matters have Yukawa couplings with MSSM particles even though in a large fraction of the parameter space of the MSSM, the Yukawa coupling constant of b becomes sizably smaller than that of τ at the GUT scale. We will also see that the ratio of the b to τ Yukawa coupling constants at the GUT scale becomes smaller in models with extra matters if they do not have Yukawa

Classification	Notation ($SU(5)$)	Notation	$SU(5)$	G_{SM}
MSSM 3rd generation	$\bar{F}$	b_R^c	$\bar{\mathbf{5}}$	$(\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1}, \frac{1}{3})$
		l_L		$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$
	T	q_L	$\mathbf{10}$	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2}, \frac{1}{6})$
		t_R^c		$(\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1}, -\frac{2}{3})$
		τ_R^c		$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 1)$
Extra Matters	$\bar{F}'$	D'	$\bar{\mathbf{5}}$	$(\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1}, \frac{1}{3})$
		L'		$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$
	T'	Q'	$\mathbf{10}$	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2}, \frac{1}{6})$
		U'		$(\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1}, -\frac{2}{3})$
		E'		$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 1)$
	F'	$\bar{D}'$	$\mathbf{5}$	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, -\frac{1}{3})$
		$\bar{L}'$		$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \frac{1}{2})$
	$\bar{T}'$	$\bar{Q}'$	$\bar{\mathbf{10}}$	$(\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{2}, -\frac{1}{6})$
		$\bar{U}'$		$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \frac{2}{3})$
		$\bar{E}'$		$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, -1)$

Table 1: Notations of chiral superfields used throughout this paper. Each column denotes, from left to right, the classification between MSSM particles and extra matters, the notation of the $SU(5)$ multiplet, the notation of the multiplet of the SM gauge group $G_{\text{SM}} \equiv SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$, the representation under the unified gauge group $SU(5)$, and the representation under G_{SM} .

interactions.

2 Model: Brief Overview

We first introduce the model we consider. The present analysis is based on [21], in which b - τ unification in the MSSM was studied considering proper effective theories. In the present study, we include the effects of extra matters into the analysis of [21]. We study the model with extra matters which can be embedded into complete $SU(5)$ representations. We concentrate on the case where the extra matters are embedded into $\mathbf{5} + \bar{\mathbf{5}}$ or $\mathbf{10} + \bar{\mathbf{10}}$ representations. The notations for the chiral superfields are summarized in Tab. 1.

In the model of our interest, the superpotential can be denoted as^{#1}

$$W = W_{\text{Yukawa}} + \mu H_u H_d + W_{\mathbf{5}} + W_{\mathbf{10}}, \quad (2.1)$$

^{#1}Hereafter, the $SU(3)_C$ and $SU(2)_L$ indices are omitted for notational simplicity.

with H_u and H_d being the up- and down-type Higgses, respectively. Here, W_{Yukawa} is for Yukawa interaction, while $W_{\mathbf{5}}$ and $W_{\mathbf{10}}$ are SUSY invariant mass terms for extra matters. If the Yukawa interactions of the extra matters are negligible, the relevant part of W_{Yukawa} is given by

$$W_{\text{Yukawa}} = y_b H_d q_L b_R^c + y_\tau H_d l_L \tau_R^c + y_t H_u q_L t_R^c + \cdots \quad (2.2)$$

When there exist Yukawa couplings involving extra matters, W_{Yukawa} is modified as described below in Sec. 3.2. We consider $N_{\mathbf{5}}$ pairs of $\mathbf{5} + \bar{\mathbf{5}}$ (or $N_{\mathbf{10}}$ pairs of $\mathbf{10} + \bar{\mathbf{10}}$), and hence

$$W_{\mathbf{5}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mathbf{5}}} (\mu_D D_i' \bar{D}_i' + \mu_L L_i' \bar{L}_i'), \quad (2.3)$$

$$W_{\mathbf{10}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mathbf{10}}} (\mu_Q Q_i' \bar{Q}_i' + \mu_U U_i' \bar{U}_i' + \mu_E E_i' \bar{E}_i'), \quad (2.4)$$

where i is the label of each $\mathbf{5} + \bar{\mathbf{5}}$ or $\mathbf{10} + \bar{\mathbf{10}}$ pair. For simplicity, we assume that the mass parameters for the extra matters in the same standard-model representations are identical. Furthermore, the relevant part of the soft SUSY breaking terms are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{(\text{soft})} = & \mathcal{L}_{\text{scalar mass}}^{(\text{soft})} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{trilinear}}^{(\text{soft})} + \left(-B\mu H_u H_d - \frac{1}{2} M_1 \tilde{B} \tilde{B} - \frac{1}{2} M_2 \tilde{W} \tilde{W} - \frac{1}{2} M_3 \tilde{g} \tilde{g} + \text{h.c.} \right) \\ & + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{5}}^{(\text{soft})} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{10}}^{(\text{soft})} + \cdots, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where $\tilde{B}$, $\tilde{W}$ and $\tilde{g}$ are Bino, Wino and gluino, respectively. (The “tilde” is used for SUSY particles.) Here, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{scalar mass}}^{(\text{soft})}$ is soft SUSY breaking scalar mass terms. Furthermore, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{trilinear}}^{(\text{soft})}$ denotes trilinear couplings; when the trilinear couplings of the extra matters are negligible, it is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{trilinear}}^{(\text{soft})} = -A_b H_d \tilde{q}_L \tilde{b}_R^c - A_\tau H_d \tilde{l}_L \tilde{\tau}_R^c - A_t H_u \tilde{q}_L \tilde{t}_R^c + \text{h.c.} + \cdots \quad (2.6)$$

(The effects of the trilinear couplings of the extra matters are discussed in Sec. 3.2.) $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{5}}^{(\text{soft})}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{10}}^{(\text{soft})}$ contain bilinear terms of extra matters,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{5}}^{(\text{soft})} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mathbf{5}}} (B_D \mu_D \tilde{D}_i' \tilde{D}_i' + B_L \mu_L \tilde{L}_i' \tilde{L}_i') + \text{h.c.}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{10}}^{(\text{soft})} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mathbf{10}}} (B_Q \mu_Q \tilde{Q}_i' \tilde{Q}_i' + B_U \mu_U \tilde{U}_i' \tilde{U}_i' + B_E \mu_E \tilde{E}_i' \tilde{E}_i') + \text{h.c.} \quad (2.8)$$

As for the SUSY invariant masses of extra matters, we assume that the SUSY breaking bilinear terms are universal for extra matters with the same standard-model representations.

Below the mass scale of the SUSY particles, the effective theory contains only the SM particles (as well as the extra matter fermions if the SUSY invariant masses of extra matters are smaller than the masses of SUSY particles). We denote the Lagrangian of such an effective theory as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = & \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}}^{(\text{SM})} + \left(\tilde{y}_b \tilde{H}_{\text{SM}} q_L b_R^c + \tilde{y}_\tau \tilde{H}_{\text{SM}} l_L \tau_R^c + y_t H_{\text{SM}} q_L t_R^c + \text{h.c.} \right) \\ & - m_{H_{\text{SM}}}^2 H_{\text{SM}}^\dagger H_{\text{SM}} - \frac{\lambda}{2} (H_{\text{SM}}^\dagger H_{\text{SM}})^2 + \mathcal{L}^{(\text{extra})} + \mathcal{L}^{(\tilde{G})} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}}^{(\text{SM})}$ is the kinetic terms of SM fields and H_{SM} is the SM-like Higgs doublet (with $\tilde{H}_{\text{SM}} \equiv \epsilon H_{\text{SM}}^*$). Yukawa coupling constants for the effective theories below the mass scale of the SUSY particles are denoted as $\tilde{y}_b$, $\tilde{y}_\tau$, and $\tilde{y}_t$. Furthermore,^{#2}

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\text{extra})} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}}^{(\text{extra})} - \sum_{i=1}^{N_5} (\mu_D \bar{D}'_i D'_i + \mu_L \bar{L}'_i L'_i + \text{h.c.}) - \sum_{i=1}^{N_{10}} (\mu_Q \bar{Q}'_i Q'_i + \mu_U \bar{U}'_i U'_i + \mu_E \bar{E}'_i E'_i + \text{h.c.}), \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\tilde{G})} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}}^{(\tilde{G})} - \frac{1}{2} \left(M_1 \tilde{B} \tilde{B} + M_2 \tilde{W} \tilde{W} + M_3 \tilde{g} \tilde{g} + \text{h.c.} \right), \quad (2.11)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}}^{(\text{extra})}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}}^{(\tilde{G})}$ are kinetic terms of extra matter fermions and gauginos, respectively. In Eq. (2.9), $\mathcal{L}^{(\text{extra})}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{(\tilde{G})}$ should be omitted below the mass scale of the extra matter fermions and gauginos, respectively.

Some of the Lagrangian parameters are related to each other at the GUT scale M_{GUT} . (In our analysis, we define M_{GUT} as the scale at which $U(1)_Y$ and $SU(2)_L$ gauge coupling constants become equal.) For simplicity, we assume that the SUSY breaking scalar mass parameters are degenerate at the GUT scale for scalars with same $SU(5)$ representations. For the bilinear terms and the soft SUSY breaking parameters, we neglect the threshold corrections at the GUT scale. Then, we parametrize the Lagrangian parameters at $Q = M_{\text{GUT}}$ (with Q being the renormalization scale) as

$$\mu_D(M_{\text{GUT}}) = \mu_L(M_{\text{GUT}}) \equiv \mu_5, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\mu_Q(M_{\text{GUT}}) = \mu_U(M_{\text{GUT}}) = \mu_E(M_{\text{GUT}}) \equiv \mu_{10} \quad (2.13)$$

$$m_D^2(M_{\text{GUT}}) = m_L^2(M_{\text{GUT}}) \equiv m_5^2, \quad (2.14)$$

$$m_{\tilde{D}'}^2(M_{\text{GUT}}) = m_{\tilde{L}'}^2(M_{\text{GUT}}) = m_5^2, \quad (2.15)$$

$$m_{\tilde{Q}}^2(M_{\text{GUT}}) = m_{\tilde{U}}^2(M_{\text{GUT}}) = m_{\tilde{E}}^2(M_{\text{GUT}}) \equiv m_{10}^2, \quad (2.16)$$

$$m_{\tilde{Q}'}^2(M_{\text{GUT}}) = m_{\tilde{U}'}^2(M_{\text{GUT}}) = m_{\tilde{E}'}^2(M_{\text{GUT}}) = m_{10}^2, \quad (2.17)$$

$$m_{H_u}^2(M_{\text{GUT}}) \equiv m_{H_5}^2, \quad (2.18)$$

^{#2}We use same notations for the SM_{ex} fermions and the corresponding superfields.

Effective theories	Particle content	RGEs
SM	SM particles	two-loop
SM _{ex}	SM particles and extra fermions	two-loop
$\tilde{\text{GSM}}$	SM particles and gauginos	two-loop
$\tilde{\text{GSM}}_{\text{ex}}$	SM particles, gauginos and extra fermions	two-loop
MSSM	MSSM particles	two-loop
MSSM _{ex}	MSSM particles and extra matters	two-loop

Table 2: Effective theories used in our analysis.

$$m_{H_d}^2(M_{\text{GUT}}) \equiv m_{H\bar{5}}^2, \quad (2.19)$$

$$A_b(M_{\text{GUT}}) = A_\tau(M_{\text{GUT}}) \equiv a_d, \quad (2.20)$$

$$A_t(M_{\text{GUT}}) \equiv a_u, \quad (2.21)$$

$$B_D(M_{\text{GUT}}) = B_L(M_{\text{GUT}}) = m_{\bar{5}}, \quad (2.22)$$

$$B_Q(M_{\text{GUT}}) = B_U(M_{\text{GUT}}) = B_E(M_{\text{GUT}}) = m_{\mathbf{10}}, \quad (2.23)$$

where m_D^2 , m_L^2 , m_Q^2 , m_U^2 and m_E^2 are soft SUSY breaking mass squared parameters of $\tilde{b}_R^c$, $\tilde{l}_L$, $\tilde{q}_L$, $\tilde{t}_R^c$, and $\tilde{\tau}_R^c$, respectively. In addition, we impose the same boundary condition for $m_{\tilde{\Phi}}^2$, as $m_{\tilde{\Phi}}^2$, ($\Phi = D, L, Q, U, E$). For gaugino masses, we adopt the simple GUT relation:

$$M_1(M_{\text{GUT}}) = M_2(M_{\text{GUT}}) = M_3(M_{\text{GUT}}) \equiv m_{1/2}. \quad (2.24)$$

The mass spectrum of the SUSY particles (including those in the extra matter sector) is determined by solving the RGEs with the boundary conditions given above. Importantly, the masses of the scalars are comparable to or larger than the gaugino masses in the model of our interest because of the renormalization group effects. We also comment here that the gaugino masses may be much smaller than the scalar masses, as suggested by several models of SUSY breaking [28–30]. Thus, we do not exclude the possibility that the gaugino masses are hierarchically smaller than the scalar masses.

In order to calculate the renormalization group running of coupling constants from the weak scale to the GUT scale M_{GUT} , we consider several effective theories; particle contents of all the effective theories used in our analysis are summarized in Tab. 2. In the present analysis, there are three important mass scales, i.e., the gaugino mass scale $M_{\tilde{G}}$, the sfermion mass scale M_S , and the extra fermion mass scale M_{ex} , at which the effective theory changes from one to another. As we have mentioned, the scalar masses are comparable to or larger than the gaugino masses, and hence $M_{\tilde{G}} < M_S$. In addition, the masses of the scalars in the extra matter sector have two contributions, i.e., SUSY invariant mass parameters and soft SUSY breaking masses (denoted as μ_Φ and m_Φ^2 , respectively); the scalar masses in the extra matter sector is $\sim \sqrt{\mu_\Phi^2 + m_\Phi^2}$. Thus, we assume that the scalars in the extra matter

Effective theories	$M_{\text{ex}} < M_{\tilde{G}} < M_S$	$M_{\tilde{G}} < M_{\text{ex}} < M_S$	$M_{\tilde{G}} < M_S < M_{\text{ex}}$
SM	$m_t < Q < M_{\text{ex}}$	$m_t < Q < M_{\tilde{G}}$	$m_t < Q < M_{\tilde{G}}$
SM _{ex}	$M_{\text{ex}} < Q < M_{\tilde{G}}$		
$\tilde{\text{GSM}}$		$M_{\tilde{G}} < Q < M_{\text{ex}}$	$M_{\tilde{G}} < Q < M_S$
$\tilde{\text{GSM}}_{\text{ex}}$	$M_{\tilde{G}} < Q < M_S$	$M_{\text{ex}} < Q < M_S$	
MSSM			$M_S < Q < M_{\text{ex}}$
MSSM _{ex}	$M_S < Q < M_{\text{GUT}}$	$M_S < Q < M_{\text{GUT}}$	$M_{\text{ex}} < Q < M_{\text{GUT}}$

Table 3: Effective theories for each renormalization scale Q .

sector decouple from the effective theory at the renormalization scale $Q = \max(M_S, M_{\text{ex}})$. The relevant effective theory for each renormalization scale depends on $M_{\tilde{G}}$, M_S , and M_{ex} , as summarized in Tab. 3.

For all the effective theories mentioned above, we use two-loop RGEs; for SUSY models, we use the Susyno package [31], while the RGEs for non-SUSY theories are calculated based on [32–34]. In addition, at each energy threshold, one effective theory is matched to another, taking into account one-loop threshold corrections to Lagrangian parameters. In the following, we summarize the important effects.

At the sfermion mass scale M_S , two Higgs doublets in MSSM_(ex) are matched to the SM-like Higgs as

$$H_{\text{SM}} = H_u \sin \beta + H_d^* \cos \beta, \quad (2.25)$$

where $\tan \beta$ is the ratio of the vacuum expectation value of H_u^0 to that of H_d^0 . The boundary condition for the Higgs quartic coupling λ at M_S is

$$\lambda(M_S) = \frac{g_1^2(M_S) + g_2^2(M_S)}{4} \cos^2 2\beta + \delta\lambda, \quad (2.26)$$

where $\delta\lambda$ is the threshold correction due to heavy scalar particles (in particular, stops). In addition, the mass of the pseudo-scalar Higgs, which is a component of the heavy Higgs multiplet $H_{\text{heavy}} = H_u \cos \beta - H_d^* \sin \beta$, is determined at this scale as

$$m_A^2 = [m_{H_u}^2 + m_{H_d}^2 + 2\mu^2 - m_{H_{\text{SM}}}^2]_{Q=M_S}, \quad (2.27)$$

where μ^2 is determined from the following radiative electroweak symmetry breaking condition:

$$\mu^2 = -m_{H_{\text{SM}}}^2 - m_{H_u}^2 \sin^2 \beta - m_{H_d}^2 \cos^2 \beta + B\mu \sin 2\beta, \quad (2.28)$$

$$B\mu = \frac{1}{2}(m_{H_u}^2 - m_{H_d}^2) \tan 2\beta. \quad (2.29)$$

The Yukawa coupling constants y_f (with $f = t, b$, and τ) are matched to $\tilde{y}_f$ at $Q = M_S$, using the mixing angle β . In our analysis, the threshold correction to the bottom Yukawa coupling constant at $Q = M_S$ is important. The correction Δ_b is defined by

$$\tilde{y}_b(M_S) = y_b(M_S) \cos \beta (1 + \Delta_b), \quad (2.30)$$

where $\tilde{y}_b$ and y_b are the bottom quark Yukawa coupling constants in the effective theory used just below and just above M_S , respectively. The most important contributions to Δ_b come from the sbottom-gluino and stop-chargino diagrams [35–37]; at the leading order of the mass-insertion approximation, these contributions are given by

$$\Delta_b \simeq \left[\frac{g_3^2}{6\pi^2} M_3 I(m_{\tilde{b}_1}^2, m_{\tilde{b}_2}^2, M_3^2) + \frac{y_t}{16\pi^2} A_t I(m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2, m_{\tilde{t}_2}^2, \mu^2) \right] \mu \tan \beta, \quad (2.31)$$

where $m_{\tilde{b}_1}$ and $m_{\tilde{t}_1}$ ($m_{\tilde{b}_2}$ and $m_{\tilde{t}_2}$) are masses of lighter (heavier) stop and sbottom, respectively, and

$$I(a, b, c) = -\frac{ab \ln(a/b) + bc \ln(b/c) + ca \ln(c/a)}{(a-b)(b-c)(c-a)}. \quad (2.32)$$

(In our numerical analysis, we use the full one-loop expression of Δ_b .) The important point is that Δ_b is approximately proportional to $\mu \tan \beta$, resulting in the large correction to the bottom Yukawa coupling constant in the models with heavy Higgsinos or those with large $\tan \beta$.

We also include threshold corrections to the Wino and Bino masses at $Q = M_S$ due to the Higgs-Higgsino loop diagram [38]:

$$\delta M_1 = \frac{g_1^2(M_S)}{16\pi^2} L, \quad \delta M_2 = \frac{g_2^2(M_S)}{16\pi^2} L, \quad (2.33)$$

where

$$L \equiv \mu \sin 2\beta \frac{m_A^2}{\mu^2 - m_A^2} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_A^2}. \quad (2.34)$$

At $Q = M_{\tilde{G}}$ and $Q = M_{\text{ex}}$, we take into account one-loop threshold corrections to gauge coupling constants, gaugino masses, and scalar masses due to loop diagrams involving gauginos and extra matters. Then, at $Q = m_t$ the SM-like Higgs mass is evaluated as

$$m_h^2 = 2\lambda(m_t)v^2 + \delta m_h^2, \quad (2.35)$$

where $v \simeq 174$ GeV is the vacuum expectation value of the SM-like Higgs boson and δm_h^2 is the threshold correction.

3 Numerical Results

In this section, we show the results of our numerical study. In addition to the SM parameters, the present model contains ten new parameters, $\tan \beta$, $m_{\mathbf{5}}^2$, $m_{\mathbf{10}}^2$, $m_{H\mathbf{5}}^2$, $m_{H\bar{\mathbf{5}}}^2$, $m_{1/2}$, μ , B , $\mu_{\mathbf{5}}$, and $\mu_{\mathbf{10}}$, ignoring the Yukawa and the trilinear couplings related to the extra matters. Among them, μ and B are determined at the sfermion mass scale M_S to fix the vacuum expectation value of the SM-like Higgs boson v and $\tan \beta$.

We numerically solve RGEs from the weak scale to the GUT scale. Our numerical calculation is based on the SOFTSUSY package [39], in which three-loop RGEs for the effective theory below the electroweak scale and two-loop RGEs for the MSSM are implemented. We have implemented into SOFTSUSY package two-loop RGEs for the other effective theories listed in Tab. 3, i.e., SM, SM_{ex}, $\tilde{\text{GSM}}$, $\tilde{\text{GSM}}_{\text{ex}}$, and MSSM_{ex}. In addition, one-loop threshold corrections due to the diagrams with SUSY particles or extra matters in the loop are included at relevant thresholds. In our numerical calculation, M_S is taken to be the geometric mean of the stop masses, while we take $M_{\tilde{G}} = M_3$. M_{ex} is set to the mass of the bottom-like extra fermion mass μ_D for models with $N_{\mathbf{5}} > 0$, and is set to the geometric mean of top-like extra fermion masses for models with $N_{\mathbf{10}} > 0$. The gauge and Yukawa coupling constants are determined based on [40]. In particular, we use the bottom quark mass of $m_b^{(\overline{\text{MS}})}(m_b) = 4.18$ GeV, the top quark mass of $m_t = 173.21$ GeV, and $\alpha_3(M_Z) = 0.1185$ (with $\alpha_3 = g_3^2/4\pi$).

3.1 Extra matters without Yukawa couplings

Let us now study the effects of extra matters on the b - τ unification in SUSY GUT. We first consider the case where the extra matters interact with the MSSM particles only through gauge interactions.

Because the boundary conditions for the Yukawa coupling constants are fixed by using the fermion masses, $y_b(M_{\text{GUT}})$ and $y_\tau(M_{\text{GUT}})$ may differ in the present analysis. To quantify the difference, we define

$$R_{b\tau} \equiv \frac{y_b(M_{\text{GUT}})}{y_\tau(M_{\text{GUT}})}. \quad (3.1)$$

We calculate $R_{b\tau}$ as a function of model parameters, and study how it is affected by extra matters. If there is no source of the GUT scale threshold corrections other than the splitting of the masses of GUT scale particles, then $(R_{b\tau} - 1) \sim O(1)\%$. Thus, if $R_{b\tau}$ is (much) larger than $\sim O(1)\%$, it indicates a sizable threshold correction at the GUT scale and/or a non-trivial flavor physics at the GUT scale or below.

If the Yukawa interactions of the extra matters are negligible, the main effect of extra matters on the Yukawa unification is through the enhancement of the gauge coupling constants at high energy scale. With extra matters, the coupling constants of $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ become larger. This can be understood by examining the RGEs of the gauge coupling

constants; in SUSY models, they are given by

$$\frac{d}{d \ln Q} g_a = \frac{g_a^3}{16\pi^2} [b_a + (N_{\mathbf{5}} + 3N_{\mathbf{10}})] + \cdots, \quad (3.2)$$

where $(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (\frac{33}{5}, 1, -3)$, and $\cdots$ in the above equation denotes higher order effects. One can see that, with non-vanishing $N_{\mathbf{5}}$ or $N_{\mathbf{10}}$, the beta-function coefficients become larger, resulting in the enhancement of the gauge coupling constants at higher scale. In particular, the enhancement of g_3 is the most important because of the largeness of the coupling constant g_3 itself. The enhanced gauge coupling constants affect the renormalization group running of y_b and y_τ , whose RGEs are given by

$$\frac{d}{d \ln Q} y_b = \frac{y_b}{16\pi^2} \left(y_t^2 + 6y_b^2 + y_\tau^2 - \frac{7}{15}g_1^2 - 3g_2^2 - \frac{16}{3}g_3^2 \right) + \cdots, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\frac{d}{d \ln Q} y_\tau = \frac{y_\tau}{16\pi^2} \left(3y_b^2 + 4y_\tau^2 - \frac{9}{5}g_1^2 - 3g_2^2 \right) + \cdots, \quad (3.4)$$

where the mixings between different generations are neglected. With the low-scale values of the Yukawa coupling constants being fixed to realize the observed fermion masses, the above equations indicate that the Yukawa coupling constants at M_{GUT} is more suppressed as the gauge coupling constants become larger. Due to this effect, y_b is more suppressed than y_τ because g_3 only affects the running of y_b .

In Fig. 1, in order to investigate how these effects affect $R_{b\tau}$, we show $R_{b\tau}$ as a function of the mass scale of extra matters. The red, green, and blue lines correspond to the models with $(N_{\mathbf{5}}, N_{\mathbf{10}}) = (1, 0)$, $(2, 0)$, and $(0, 1)$, respectively. (Thus, the horizontal axis corresponds to $\mu_{\mathbf{5}}$ for red and green lines and $\mu_{\mathbf{10}}$ for blue lines.) The dotted and solid lines are for models with $\mu > 0$ and $\mu < 0$, respectively. For the left figure, we take mSUGRA-like boundary conditions, $m_{\mathbf{5}} = m_{\mathbf{10}} = m_{1/2} = 100$ TeV, $m_{H\mathbf{5}} = m_{H\bar{\mathbf{5}}} = 80$ TeV, and $a_d = a_u = 0$. Here, $\tan \beta$ is determined so that the SM-like Higgs mass is given by the observed value $m_h = 125.09$ GeV; then, it takes values in the range $2.9 < \tan \beta < 3.1$. The right figure shows the results for the model with gaugino mediation boundary conditions [41, 42], taking $\tan \beta = 50$, $m_{\mathbf{5}} = m_{\mathbf{10}} = m_{H\mathbf{5}} = m_{H\bar{\mathbf{5}}} = 0$, and $a_d = a_u = 0$. In this case, $m_{1/2}$ is tuned so that m_h is equal to the observed Higgs mass, which gives $4.5 \text{ TeV} < m_{1/2} < 6.5 \text{ TeV}$.

As can be easily understood, the effects of extra matters on the runnings of y_b and y_τ are more enhanced as the masses of the extra matters become smaller. We can see that $R_{b\tau}$ is suppressed by ~ 10 % when the mass scale of the extra matters is at around the TeV scale, while $R_{b\tau}$ approaches to the MSSM value when the mass scale M_{ex} becomes close to the GUT scale. In the MSSM, it is often the case that $R_{b\tau}$ is significantly smaller than 1 in particular when $\tan \beta$ is small. As we have seen, the effects of extra matters make $R_{b\tau}$ smaller if extra matters interact with MSSM particles only through gauge interactions. We also note here that the deviation between a solid line and the corresponding dotted line approximately shows (twice) the size of the threshold correction Δ_b , since the sign of Δ_b is determined by that of μ . The size of Δ_b is also affected by the change of M_{ex} because the mass




Figure 1: $R_{b\tau}$ as a function of the mass scale of the extra matters for models with low $\tan\beta$ (left) and high $\tan\beta$ (right), taking $(N_5, N_{10}) = (1, 0)$ (red), $(2, 0)$ (green), and $(0, 1)$ (blue). The horizontal axis denotes the value of μ_5 for red and green lines and that of μ_{10} for blue lines. We consider both signs of the SUSY invariant Higgs mass: $\mu > 0$ (dotted) and $\mu < 0$ (solid). The boundary conditions used in the left figure are $m_{\bar{5}} = m_{10} = m_{1/2} = 100$ TeV, $m_{H\bar{5}} = m_{H5} = 80$ TeV, and $a_d = a_u = 0$. $\tan\beta$ is determined so that $m_h = 125.09$ GeV, which results in $2.9 < \tan\beta < 3.1$. The boundary conditions for the right figure are $\tan\beta = 50$, $m_{\bar{5}} = m_{10} = m_{H\bar{5}} = m_{H5} = 0$, and $a_d = a_u = 0$. $m_{1/2}$ is determined so that $m_h = 125.09$ GeV, which results in $4.5 \text{ TeV} < m_{1/2} < 6.5 \text{ TeV}$.

spectrum of the MSSM particles also depends on M_{ex} . With the model parameters for the solid lines in Fig. 1 (right), for example, $|\Delta_b|$ is enhanced as M_{ex} becomes smaller. However, the suppression of $R_{b\tau}$ due to the enhancement of g_3 at higher scale is more significant; consequently, $R_{b\tau}$ becomes smaller as M_{ex} decreases as shown in Fig. 1.

3.2 Extra matters with Yukawa couplings

So far, we have considered the case where the Yukawa interactions of the extra matters are negligibly small. However, the extra matters may couple to MSSM chiral multiplets via Yukawa couplings. With such new interaction, the renormalization group runnings of the coupling and mass parameters may be changed, affecting the unification of Yukawa coupling constants. In this subsection, we show that this is indeed the case. Among several possibilities, we introduce the Yukawa interaction for the extra matter in **10** representation of $SU(5)$. We will see that, in such a model, the extra matters may help to make the b - τ unification successful.

Here, we consider the case with $(N_5, N_{10}) = (0, 1)$, and study the effect of the Yukawa interactions of extra matters. We concentrate on the case where the extra matter scale is comparable to or higher than M_S so that all the extra matters (i.e., fermions and scalars) simultaneously decouple from the effective theory at a single scale M_{ex} .

For the study of such a case, it is instructive to use the fact that the Yukawa interaction

above the GUT scale can be written in the following form:

$$W_{\text{Yukawa}}^{(SU(5))} = \eta_{b,\tau} \bar{\mathbf{5}}_H \mathbf{10}_Y \bar{F} + \mathbf{5}_H \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{10}_Y & \mathbf{10}_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_t & \eta_t^{(1)} \\ \eta_t^{(1)} & \eta_t^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{10}_Y \\ \mathbf{10}_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.5)$$

where η 's are coupling constants. Here, $\mathbf{10}_Y$ and $\mathbf{10}_0$ are chiral multiplets in the $\mathbf{10}$ representation of $SU(5)$, and are given by linear combinations of T and T' . Notice that, in this basis, only $\mathbf{10}_Y$ couples to $\bar{F}$ through the Yukawa interaction. In addition, $\mathbf{5}_H$ and $\bar{\mathbf{5}}_H$ are chiral multiplets containing up- and down-type Higgses, respectively. In order to make our point clearer, we take $\eta_t^{(1)} = \eta_t^{(2)} = 0$ in the following analysis. With such an assumption, the Yukawa interaction below the GUT scale is given by

$$W_{\text{Yukawa}} = y'_b H_d Q_Y b_R^c + y'_\tau H_d l_L E_Y + y'_t H_u Q_Y U_Y, \quad (3.6)$$

where Q_Y , U_Y , and E_Y are chiral superfields embedded into $\mathbf{10}_Y$. (Chiral multiplets embedded into $\mathbf{10}_0$ are denoted as Q_0 , U_0 , and E_0 .) Denoting the SUSY invariant mass terms for the extra matters as

$$W_{\mathbf{10}} = \sum_{\Phi=Q,U,E} (\mu_{\Phi_Y} \Phi_Y \bar{\Phi}' + \mu_{\Phi_0} \Phi_0 \bar{\Phi}'), \quad (3.7)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_Y \\ Q_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_Q & \sin \theta_Q \\ -\sin \theta_Q & \cos \theta_Q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_L \\ Q' \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\cos \theta_Q = \frac{\mu_{Q_0}}{\mu_Q}, \quad \sin \theta_Q = \frac{\mu_{Q_Y}}{\mu_Q}, \quad (3.9)$$

with $\mu_Q = \sqrt{\mu_{Q_Y}^2 + \mu_{Q_0}^2}$. Similar relations hold for (U_Y, U_0) and (E_Y, E_0) , with the mixing angles $\theta_{U,E} = \cos^{-1}(\mu_{U_0,E_0}/\sqrt{\mu_{U_Y,E_Y}^2 + \mu_{U_0,E_0}^2})$. At the mass scale of the extra matters, MSSM Yukawa coupling constants are given by

$$y_b(M_{\text{ex}}) = y'_b(M_{\text{ex}}) \cos \theta_Q, \quad (3.10)$$

$$y_\tau(M_{\text{ex}}) = y'_\tau(M_{\text{ex}}) \cos \theta_E, \quad (3.11)$$

$$y_t(M_{\text{ex}}) = y'_t(M_{\text{ex}}) \cos \theta_Q \cos \theta_U. \quad (3.12)$$

In the present set up, the Yukawa structure is like that of the MSSM as Eq. (3.6) is obtained from the Yukawa interaction of the MSSM by replacing $y_{t,b,\tau} \rightarrow y'_{t,b,\tau}$ and $(q_L, t_R^c, \tau_R^c) \rightarrow (Q_Y, U_Y, E_Y)$. Numerically, however, such a replacement may give significant effects on the Yukawa unification. This is because, as shown in Eq. (3.12), y'_t can be significantly larger than y_t because $\cos \theta_Q \cos \theta_U < 1$. Such an enhancement of the coupling constant may have the following consequences:

1. $y'_b(M_{\text{GUT}})$ is enhanced through the renormalization group effect while $y'_\tau(M_{\text{GUT}})$ is not (see Eqs. (3.3) and (3.4)).
2. $m_{H_u}^2(M_S)$ is suppressed through the renormalization group effect. This can be understood from the RGE of $m_{H_u}^2$, which is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}m_{H_u}^2 = 6y_t'^2(m_{H_u}^2 + m_{\tilde{Q}}^2 + m_{\tilde{U}}^2 + A_t^2) + \dots, \quad (3.13)$$

where only the y'_t -dependence of the beta-function at the one-loop level is shown in the above equation. This may result in the enhancement of $|\mu|$ and $|\Delta_b|$.

In order to study the effects of the Yukawa couplings of the extra matters on the b - τ unification, we solve the RGEs numerically, taking into account the effects of extra Yukawa couplings. We assume that the threshold correction to the SUSY invariant masses of extra matters are negligible, and that they are unified at the GUT scale; we parameterize their boundary conditions as

$$\mu_{Q_0}(M_{\text{GUT}}) = \mu_{U_0}(M_{\text{GUT}}) = \mu_{E_0}(M_{\text{GUT}}) \equiv \mu_{\mathbf{10}}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\mu_{Q_Y}(M_{\text{GUT}}) = \mu_{U_Y}(M_{\text{GUT}}) = \mu_{E_Y}(M_{\text{GUT}}) \equiv X\mu_{\mathbf{10}}. \quad (3.15)$$

Here, X^{-1} is approximately equal to $\cos \theta_\Phi$ (with $\Phi = Q, U, E$), although they slightly differ because of the renormalization group effects from the GUT scale to the extra matter scale. (In our numerical analysis, we have taken into account the effects of the renormalization group running of SUSY invariant mass parameters.) In addition, for $Q > M_{\text{ex}}$, the scalars in the extra matter sector have trilinear interactions. We assume that, at the GUT scale, the trilinear couplings are proportional to the corresponding Yukawa coupling constants, and that the trilinear interactions above the extra matter scale are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{trilinear}}^{(\text{soft})} = -A'_b H_d \tilde{Q}_Y \tilde{b}_R^c - A'_\tau H_d \tilde{l}_L \tilde{E}_Y - A'_t H_u \tilde{Q}_Y \tilde{U}_Y, \quad (3.16)$$

with the boundary conditions $A'_b(M_{\text{GUT}}) = A'_\tau(M_{\text{GUT}}) \equiv a'_d$ and $A'_t(M_{\text{GUT}}) \equiv a'_u$. Furthermore, the SUSY breaking mass parameters above the extra matter scale can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{scalar mass}}^{(\text{soft})} = \sum_{\Phi=Q,U,E} (m_{\Phi_Y}^2 |\tilde{\Phi}_Y|^2 + m_{\Phi_0}^2 |\tilde{\Phi}_0|^2) + \dots. \quad (3.17)$$

Notice that, with the present choice of parameters, there is no mixing term between $\tilde{\Phi}_Y$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_0$. Soft SUSY breaking parameters defined above and below the extra matter scale are matched at $Q = M_{\text{ex}}$ using Eq. (3.8) (as well as the mixing angles for (U_Y, U_0) and (E_Y, E_0)).

We show examples of the running of Yukawa coupling constants in Fig. 2, which demonstrates the possibility to make the unification of the Yukawa coupling constants successful due to the effects of the Yukawa interactions of extra matters. Solid lines show the results for the present model while dotted lines denote the result for the MSSM as a reference. Here,




Figure 2: Runnings of $\tilde{y}_t$ and $y_t^{(n)} \sin \beta$ (left) and those of $\tilde{y}_b$, $y_b^{(n)} \cos \beta$, $\tilde{y}_\tau$ and $y_\tau^{(n)} \cos \beta$ (right) in the model with $(N_5, N_{10}) = (0, 1)$ (solid lines) and in the MSSM (dotted lines). Here, we take $\tan \beta = 27$, $m_{\tilde{5}} = m_{\tilde{5}_H} = m_{\tilde{5}_H} = m_{1/2} = 3$ TeV, $a_d = a_u = 0$, $\mu_{10} = 10^{10}$ GeV, and $X = 1.4$. Here, m_{10} is tuned to adjust the SM-like Higgs mass to be $m_h = 125.09$ GeV, which gives $m_{10} = 14$ TeV for the model with $(N_5, N_{10}) = (0, 1)$ and $m_{10} = 12$ TeV for the MSSM. The vertical dotted lines denote the matching scales in the model with extra matters: $Q = m_t, M_{\tilde{G}}, M_S, M_{\text{ex}}$, and M_{GUT} from left to right. For $M_S < Q < M_{\text{ex}}$ ($Q > M_{\text{ex}}$), the solid lines denote $y_t \sin \beta$ ($y_t' \sin \beta$) or $y_f \cos \beta$ ($y_f' \cos \beta$) with $f = b$, or τ .

we take $\tan \beta = 27$, $m_{\tilde{5}} = m_{\tilde{5}_H} = m_{\tilde{5}_H} = m_{1/2} = 3$ TeV, $a_d = a_u = 0$, $\mu_{10} = 10^{10}$ GeV, and $X = 1.4$. m_{10} is used to adjust the SM-like Higgs mass in each model to be the observed value, which gives $m_{10} = 14$ TeV for the model with $(N_5, N_{10}) = (0, 1)$ and 12 TeV for the MSSM. The vertical dotted lines denote the matching scales in the model with extra matters: from left to right, they correspond to $Q = m_t, M_{\tilde{G}}, M_S, M_{\text{ex}}$, and M_{GUT} , respectively. The large “jumps” of solid lines in Fig. 2 at $Q = M_S$ are due to the threshold corrections, while those at $Q = M_{\text{ex}}$ are mainly due to the mixing effect represented in Eq. (3.10) and Eq. (3.11) since we plot $y_b' \cos \beta$ and $y_\tau' \cos \beta$ instead of $y_b \cos \beta$ and $y_\tau \cos \beta$ by solid lines in the range $Q > M_{\text{ex}}$. We can see from the figure that the enhancement of $|\Delta_b|$ significantly modifies the prediction for Yukawa unification. Together with the change in the running of y_b as we discussed before, the mixing $X > 1$ enlarges the prediction for $R'_{b\tau}$, which is defined as

$$R'_{b\tau} \equiv \frac{y_b'(M_{\text{GUT}})}{y_\tau'(M_{\text{GUT}})}. \quad (3.18)$$

It becomes almost 1 in the present choice of parameters, while $R_{b\tau} \simeq 0.91$ in the case of the MSSM with the same choice of GUT scale boundary conditions for SUSY breaking parameters.

In Fig. 3, we show the X dependence of $R'_{b\tau}$, taking $\tan \beta = 27$, $m_{\tilde{5}} = m_{\tilde{5}_H} = m_{\tilde{5}_H} = m_{1/2} = 3$ TeV, and $a_d = a_u = 0$. m_{10} is tuned for each value of X to adjust the SM-like Higgs mass to be the observed value; as a result, m_{10} takes values in the range between 11.5 TeV and 14 TeV. The red line shows the result for the case with $\mu_{10} = 10^{10}$ GeV and




Figure 3: Mixing parameter X dependence of $R'_{b\tau}$ defined in Eq. (3.18). The parameters used are the same as Fig. 2. m_{10} is again used to adjust the SM-like Higgs mass to be $m_h = 125.09$ GeV at each value of X . The red and the green lines denote the model with $\mu_{10} = 10^{10}$ GeV and $\mu_{10} = 10^4$ GeV, respectively.

the green one shows that with $\mu_{10} = 10^4$ GeV. We can understand from the figure that $R'_{b\tau}$ is enhanced with larger X . In the present choice of parameters with $\mu_{10} = 10^{10}$ GeV, $R'_{b\tau} = 1$ is possible. On the other hand, for $\mu_{10} = 10^4$ GeV, the enhancement is not so important since in this case, the suppression of y_b due to the enhancement of the gauge coupling constants is so large (see Fig. 1) that it cancels the advantage of the mixing effect. Notice that the lines in Fig. 3 terminate at some value of X . This is because, for larger value of X , m_{10} becomes larger in order to fix the SM-like Higgs mass, which in turn may make the right-handed sbottom mass squared or the left-handed slepton mass squared being negative at $Q = M_S$, causing the tachyonic sfermion problem.

4 Summary

In this letter, we have studied the b - τ unification in SUSY $SU(5)$ models with extra matters. We have assumed that the extra matters are embedded into full $SU(5)$ multiplets. We have seen that the extra matters may significantly affect the b - τ unification in particular when the mass scale of the extra matters is much lower than the GUT scale.

We have first considered the case where the extra matters interact with the MSSM particles only through gauge interaction. In such a case, the ratio of y_b and y_τ at the GUT scale, which we called $R_{b\tau}$, becomes suppressed as the mass scale of the extra matters becomes smaller. This is because, with the extra matters, the $SU(3)_C$ gauge coupling constant is enhanced at higher scale, resulting in the suppression of the bottom Yukawa coupling constant at the GUT scale. The suppression of $R_{b\tau}$ has been found to be $\sim 10\%$.

We have also studied the effects of the Yukawa couplings of the extra matters with MSSM particles. In the case we have studied, the Yukawa couplings above the mass scale of the extra matters are effectively enhanced, resulting in the change of the ratio of the (effective) b and τ Yukawa coupling constants. In particular, we have shown that a simple Yukawa unification (i.e., $R'_{b\tau} = 1$) can be realized via the effects of extra matters with Yukawa interaction even though $R_{b\tau}$ is significantly smaller than 1 for the case without extra matters with the same GUT scale boundary conditions.

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research C (No.26400239), and Innovative Areas (No.16H06490). The work of S.C. was also supported in part by the Program for Leading Graduate Schools, MEXT, Japan.

References

- [1] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **32** (1974) 438.
- [2] H. Georgi, H. R. Quinn and S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **33** (1974) 451.
- [3] A. J. Buras, J. R. Ellis, M. K. Gaillard and D. V. Nanopoulos, Nucl. Phys. B **135** (1978) 66.
- [4] S. Dimopoulos, S. Raby and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. D **24** (1981) 1681.
- [5] M. B. Einhorn and D. R. T. Jones, Nucl. Phys. B **196** (1982) 475.
- [6] W. J. Marciano and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. D **25** (1982) 3092.
- [7] U. Amaldi, W. de Boer and H. Furstenau, Phys. Lett. B **260** (1991) 447.
- [8] J. R. Ellis, S. Kelley and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. B **260** (1991) 131.
- [9] P. Langacker and M. x. Luo, Phys. Rev. D **44** (1991) 817.
- [10] K. Tobe and J. D. Wells, Nucl. Phys. B **663** (2003) 123 [hep-ph/0301015].
- [11] H. Baer, I. Gogoladze, A. Mustafayev, S. Raza and Q. Shafi, JHEP **1203** (2012) 047 [arXiv:1201.4412 [hep-ph]].
- [12] H. Baer, S. Raza and Q. Shafi, Phys. Lett. B **712** (2012) 250 [arXiv:1201.5668 [hep-ph]].
- [13] M. Badziak, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **27** (2012) 1230020 [arXiv:1205.6232 [hep-ph]].
- [14] A. S. Joshipura and K. M. Patel, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 035019 [arXiv:1206.3910 [hep-ph]].

- [15] G. Elor, L. J. Hall, D. Pinner and J. T. Ruderman, JHEP **1210** (2012) 111 [arXiv:1206.5301 [hep-ph]].
- [16] H. Baer, S. Kraml and S. Kulkarni, JHEP **1212** (2012) 066 [arXiv:1208.3039 [hep-ph]].
- [17] A. Anandakrishnan, S. Raby and A. Wingerter, Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) 055005 [arXiv:1212.0542 [hep-ph]].
- [18] M. A. Ajaib, I. Gogoladze, Q. Shafi and C. S. Un, JHEP **1405** (2014) 079 [arXiv:1402.4918 [hep-ph]].
- [19] D. J. Miller and A. P. Morais, JHEP **1412** (2014) 132 [arXiv:1408.3013 [hep-ph]].
- [20] I. Gogoladze, A. Mustafayev, Q. Shafi and C. S. Un, Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015) 096005 [arXiv:1501.07290 [hep-ph]].
- [21] S. Chigusa and T. Moroi, Phys. Rev. D **94** (2016) no.3, 035016 [arXiv:1604.02156 [hep-ph]].
- [22] R. D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38** (1977) 1440.
- [23] M. Dine and A. E. Nelson, Phys. Rev. D **48** (1993) 1277 [hep-ph/9303230].
- [24] M. Dine, A. E. Nelson and Y. Shirman, Phys. Rev. D **51** (1995) 1362 [hep-ph/9408384].
- [25] M. Dine, A. E. Nelson, Y. Nir and Y. Shirman, Phys. Rev. D **53** (1996) 2658 [hep-ph/9507378].
- [26] K. Kurosawa, N. Maru and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B **512** (2001) 203 [hep-ph/0105136].
- [27] M. Asano, T. Moroi, R. Sato and T. T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B **705** (2011) 337 [arXiv:1108.2402 [hep-ph]].
- [28] M. Ibe, T. Moroi and T. T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B **644** (2007) 355 [hep-ph/0610277].
- [29] M. Ibe and T. T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B **709** (2012) 374 [arXiv:1112.2462 [hep-ph]].
- [30] N. Arkani-Hamed, A. Gupta, D. E. Kaplan, N. Weiner and T. Zorawski, arXiv:1212.6971 [hep-ph].
- [31] R. M. Fonseca, Comput. Phys. Commun. **183** (2012) 2298 [arXiv:1106.5016 [hep-ph]].
- [32] M. E. Machacek and M. T. Vaughn, Nucl. Phys. B **222** (1983) 83.
- [33] M. E. Machacek and M. T. Vaughn, Nucl. Phys. B **236** (1984) 221.
- [34] M. E. Machacek and M. T. Vaughn, Nucl. Phys. B **249** (1985) 70.

- [35] L. J. Hall, R. Rattazzi and U. Sarid, Phys. Rev. D **50** (1994) 7048 [hep-ph/9306309, hep-ph/9306309].
- [36] M. Carena, M. Olechowski, S. Pokorski and C. E. M. Wagner, Nucl. Phys. B **426** (1994) 269 [hep-ph/9402253].
- [37] T. Blazek, S. Raby and S. Pokorski, Phys. Rev. D **52** (1995) 4151 [hep-ph/9504364].
- [38] G. F. Giudice, M. A. Luty, H. Murayama and R. Rattazzi, JHEP **9812** (1998) 027 [hep-ph/9810442].
- [39] B. C. Allanach, Comput. Phys. Commun. **143** (2002) 305 [hep-ph/0104145].
- [40] K. A. Olive *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], Chin. Phys. C **38** (2014) 090001.
- [41] D. E. Kaplan, G. D. Kribs and M. Schmaltz, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000) 035010 [hep-ph/9911293].
- [42] Z. Chacko, M. A. Luty, A. E. Nelson and E. Ponton, JHEP **0001** (2000) 003 [hep-ph/9911323].